Workshop 19 Avoiding Litigation Pitfalls in Pension Plan Administration

2010 CBA/IPEBLA Conference: Pension and Benefits in a Changing Global Environment

Elizabeth Brown, Hicks Morley Hamilton Stewart Storie LLP Mariana Silva, Royal Bank of Canada David Stamp, Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP William Wright, Fisher & Phillips LLP









Current Litigation Landscape

- What are examples of the most common types of Court claims brought by or on behalf of Plan beneficiaries relating to the administration of a pension plan or retiree benefits plan?
 - · Breach of fiduciary duty claims (eg. Lomas v. Rio Algom **Limited** (Ont. C.A. 2010))
 - Discrimination claims (eg. Clarke v. Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan Board, 2010 HRTO 1123)
 - "Stock drop" litigation (eg. R. v. Cristophe (2009, Ont. Ct.))
 - Post retirement benefits (eg. Nadolny v. Peel (Region) (Ont. S.C., 2009) and Acreman v. Memorial University of Newfoundland (Nfld. S.C. 2010))









Current Litigation Landscape

- What deference do the Courts pay to decisions made by the Plan administrator?
 - Conkright v. Frommert, 2010 (U.S.)
 - Dinney v. Great-West Life, 2009 (Canada)









- As legal counsel for a large pension plan sponsor, what do you see as the greatest area of risk in Plan administration? In Canada and in the US?
 - Internal consistency and accuracy of member communications
 - Design changes compliant with multiple legislation
 - Complaints about past practices
 - Concerns raised by retired employees
 - Challenges to historical plan terms









- What are some of the practical strategies you have adopted to minimize the risk of litigation?
 - Governance structure
 - Keeping governance committees informed about recent legal developments
 - Monitoring of changes in the law
 - Ongoing training of staff and committee members with respect to fiduciary obligations
 - Protocols for documentation and written communication review









- What kinds of protocols are in place for responding to member inquiries?
 - Call Centre
 - Regular meetings of Call Centre employees to ensure consistency of approaches
 - Use of template responses and scripts
 - Established escalation protocols









- When there is a law suit commenced against the Plan administrator, what are the key considerations from a strategic perspective?
 - Defences to be considered procedural and substantive, right parties named, limitation periods, third party proceedings, etc.
 - Consideration of the merits and education of internal decision makers about weaknesses
 - Considerations related to settlement of pension plan claims that may differ from settling other kinds of "individual" claims against the sponsor









- Class actions are prevalent in the US and appear to be an increasing phenomenon in Canada as well. How does a Plan administrator react to a threatened/actual class action and what is your tolerance for such claims?
 - Certification battles
 - Settlement strategies
 - Recent pension related class actions









Workshop 19 Avoiding Litigation Pitfalls in Pension Plan Administration

2010 CBA/IPEBLA Conference: Pension and Benefits in a Changing Global Environment

Elizabeth Brown, Hicks Morley Hamilton Stewart Storie LLP Mariana Silva, Royal Bank of Canada David Stamp, Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP William Wright, Fisher & Phillips LLP







